## PUNJAB STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

SITE NO. 3, BLOCK B, SECTOR 18-A MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH

Review Petition No. 03 of 2024 in Petition No. 32 of 2023 alongwith IA No. 18 of 2024 Date of Hearing: 23.10.2024 Date of Order: 25.10.2024

Review Petition under section 94 (f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 64 of the Punjab State Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2005 as amended on 08.09.2012 seeking Review of the Judgment and order dated 25.04.2024.

And

In the matter of: Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, The Mall, Patiala-147001

...Petitioner

Commission: Sh. Viswajeet Khanna, Chairperson

Sh. Paramjeet Singh, Member

PSPCL: Ms. Poorva Saigal, Advocate (through VC)

Ms. Harmohan Kaur, CE&ARR/TR Sh. N.S Chahal, Dy.CE/Non-APDRP

Sh. S.R Vashist, CE/DP Sh. Harjeet Singh, ASE/TR-5 Sh. Rattandeep Singh, ASE/Non Ms. Rajwinder Kaur, Sr.Xen/Planning

## **ORDER**

PSPCL has filed the Review Petition alongwith an IA for condonation of delay. The Ld. Counsel for the Review Petitioner submitted that the delay in filing the Review Petition is due to deliberation process in PSPCL including taking up the issues with the Power Finance Corporation. PSPCL had written to PFC on 27.06.2024 for an extension in completion period and after awaiting the response from PFC, PSPCL filed the review petition on 28.08.2024 with a delay of 72 days. There is no malafide, negligence or ulterior motive on the part of the petitioner and the delay in filing the Review Petition may be condoned. After hearing the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner delay in filing the Review Petition is condoned and IA No. 18 of 2024 stands disposed of accordingly.

After hearing the Ld. Counsel for the petitioner, the Commission notes that there is no change in factual position as detailed in petition No. 32 of 2023 order dated 25.04.2024. PSPCL to clarify/explain the following:

1.0 -2

Review Petition No. 03 of 2024 in Petition No. 32 of 2023

I. Whether, extension in period for completion of the projects has been allowed to PSPCL by the Government of India.

II. PSPCL is seeking increase in Distribution Losses than prescribed by the Commission for the 3<sup>rd</sup> MYT. PSPCL was even earlier directed, in main Petition No. 32 of 2023, to work out further reduction in distribution loss trajectory than already approved losses by the Commission with additional capital investment of RDSS scheme to be made along with already approved Capital investment. However no such proposal was received from PSPCL. PSPCL to reconsider and

propose the distribution losses accordingly.

III. PSPCL also to attach the approval of the State Govt. to the RDSS proposal since a necessary component of the RDSS proposal mandates an investment from the State Govt./Discom to the extent of 40% and also no pending dues towards subsidy/other dues from the State Govt. The position regarding this issue may also be clarified by PSPCL.

The above information be submitted within two weeks (through hard & soft copy). The Review Petition shall be taken up for hearing on admission on 04.12.2024 at 11.30 AM.

Sd/-(Paramjeet Singh) Member Sd/-(Viswajeet Khanna) Chairperson

Chandigarh

Dated: 25.10.2024

I.O -2